

Quality Improvement Assessment Questions

Cardiovascular Catheterization: Valve Interventions

Answer the questions below by reviewing the images and final report for a given case study. It is recommended that any discrepancies noted in the analysis be reviewed and shared with medical, nursing and technical staff members. The analysis is provided to assist the facility in furthering its ongoing Quality Improvement (QI) process.

I. Test appropriateness							
With the clinical information provided, was the procedure ordered for an appropriate indication? Part C, 2.1.1C		O Appropriate/usually appropriate O May be appropriate O Rarely appropriate/usually not appropriate					
Cor	mments:						
II. 1	Technical quality review						
1.	Does the study demonstrate adequate pre-intervention imaging of the affected valve? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xvii	O Yes	O No				
2.	Does the study demonstrate adequate peri-procedural imaging of the affected anatomy to include angiography and ultrasound? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xvii	O Yes	O No				
3.	Does the study demonstrate adequate post-intervention imaging of the affected valve? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xvii	O Yes	O No	O N/A			
4.	When applicable, are measurements accurately performed?	O Yes	O No	O N/A			
5.	Overall, is the image quality adequate (e.g., appropriate positioning, collimation, contrast enhancement)? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xvii	O Yes	O No				
Are the images of diagnostic quality?			O No				
Could the technical quality of this procedure have been improved?		O Yes	O No				
Cor	mments:						
III.	Safety and procedural outcomes						
1.	Was a "Time-Out" for proper patient and procedure identification performed and documented? Part B, 1.2.3B	O Yes	O No				
2.	Was a "Fire Safety Evaluation" performed and documented (must be performed when patient receives general anesthesia)? Part B, 1.2.5B	O Yes	O No	O N/A			
3.	Did the physician procedural report document complication/adverse outcome(s)? $\underline{\text{Part B, 1.8.3.5B}}$	O Yes	O No				
4.	Did the physician procedural report contain one or more internal inconsistencies?	O Yes	O No				
5.	Was transcatheter cerebral embolic protection used and documented? Part B, 1.8.1.3B, xiii	O Yes	O No	O N/A			
6.	Was fluoroscopic exposure documented (e.g., fluoroscopy time, radiation dose, dose-area product)?	O Yes	O No				



7.	Fluoro time	minutes					
8.	Dose Area Product (DAP)		_ mGy cm ²	2			
Comments:							
IV.	Interpretive quality review						
1.	Did the physician procedural report include all positive and negative findings?	O Yes	O No				
2.	Did the physician procedural report accurately describe the pre- procedure morphology of the affected valve? Part B, 1.8.3.3B i	O Yes	O No				
3.	Did the physician procedural report accurately report the degree of pre- and post-procedure valve stenosis? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xix	O Yes	O No				
4.	When applicable, did the physician procedural report accurately report the degree of pre- and post-procedure valve regurgitation? Part B, 1.8.1.3B xix	O Yes	O No	O N/A			
5.	Did the physician procedural report accurately describe the technical components of the procedure (e.g., vascular access sites, catheter placement(s), intervention(s), etc.)? Part B, 1.8.3.2B	O Yes	O No				
6.	Did the physician procedural report include all clinically significant findings?	O Yes	O No				
	as there variability between the original interpretation and the over ad/peer review interpretation?	O Yes	O No				
Co	ould the interpretive quality of this procedure have been improved?	O Yes	O No				
Coi	mments:						
V. Report completeness and timeliness							
1.	Did the physician procedural report include an indication for the study? Part B, 1.8.3.1B ν	O Yes	O No				
2.	Did the physician procedural report include a description of the devices used (e.g., size, type, manufacturer, etc.)? Part B, 1.8.3.3B vii	O Yes	O No				
3.	Did the physician procedural report include a summary of the ventricular function of the affected side? Part B, 1.8.3.3B \underline{v}	O Yes	O No				
4.	Did the physician procedural report include the post-intervention result?	O Yes	O No				
5.	Was the study interpreted within the required time? Part B, 1.5.3B	O Yes	O No				
6.	Was the final report generated within the required time? Part B, 1.5.3B	O Yes	O No				
W	as the report complete? Part B 1.8B	O Yes	O No				
W	as the final report completed in a timely manner? Part B, 1.5.3B	O Yes	O No				
Coi	mments:						